

## Predictive Control – Exercise Session 3

### Optimal Prediction, Optimal Estimation, Kalman Filter

**1 a.** The characteristic polynomial of the observer is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\det(zI - A + KC) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} z - 0.78 & k_1 \\ -0.22 & z - 1 + k_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= z^2 + (-1.78 + k_2)z + 0.78 - 0.78k_2 + 0.22k_1\end{aligned}$$

The desired characteristic polynomial is  $z^2$ . Equating the coefficients, we get

$$\begin{cases} -1.78 + k_2 = 0 \\ 0.78 + 0.22k_1 - 0.78k_2 = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow K = \begin{pmatrix} 2.77 & 1.78 \end{pmatrix}^T$$

**b.** The characteristic polynomial of the observer is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\det(zI - A + KCA) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} z - 0.78 + 0.22k_1 & k_1 \\ -0.22 + 0.22k_2 & z - 1 + k_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= z^2 + (-1.78 + 0.22k_1 + k_2)z + 0.78 - 0.78k_2\end{aligned}$$

The desired characteristic polynomial is  $z^2$ . Equating the coefficients, we get

$$\begin{cases} -1.78 + 0.22k_1 + k_2 = 0 \\ 0.78 - 0.78k_2 = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow K = \begin{pmatrix} 3.55 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^T$$

**2 a.** Using the Kalman filter algorithm outlined in Table 7.1 in *Predictive and Adaptive Control* gives:

$$\begin{cases} \hat{x}_{k+1|k} = 0.5\hat{x}_{k|k-1} + K_k(y_k - \hat{x}_{k|k-1}), & \hat{x}_{0|-1} = 0 \\ K_k = \frac{0.5P_k}{r_2 + P_k} \\ P_{k+1} = 0.25P_k + r_1 - \frac{0.25P_k^2}{r_2 + P_k}, P_0 = r_0 \end{cases}$$

**b.** The stationary variance is given by the positive solution to

$$P^2 + (0.75r_2 - r_1)P = r_1r_2$$

The stationary gain is then given by

$$K = \frac{0.5P}{r_2 + P}$$

- c. In the case  $r_1 \gg r_2$ , we get  $P = r_1$ ,  $K = 0.5$ , and  $A - KC = 0$ . The filter equations reduce to

$$\hat{x}_{k+1|k} = 0.5y_k$$

Since there is very little measurement noise compared to process noise, the filter can rely on the measurements and gets a deadbeat response.

In the case  $r_1 \ll r_2$ , we get  $P = 1.33r_1$ ,  $K = 0$ , and  $A - KC = 0.5$ . The filter equations reduce to

$$\hat{x}_{k+1|k} = 0.5\hat{x}_{k|k-1}$$

Since there is very much measurement noise compared to process noise, the filter relies entirely on prediction and has the same pole as the system.

3. The system is:

$$y_k = \frac{C(z^{-1})}{A(z^{-1})}w_k$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} C(z^{-1}) &= 1 + 0.7z^{-1} \\ A(z^{-1}) &= 1 - 1.5z^{-1} + 0.9z^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

- a. We are interested in finding a one step ahead prediction of the output,  $y_{k+1}$ . A prediction of  $y_{k+1}$  could be obtained by simply ignoring the noise:  $\hat{y}_{k+1} = 1.5y_k - 0.9y_{k-1}$ . However this is not the optimal prediction. Information about the noise  $w_k$  is available in the measured data available at time  $k$ . Consider the diophantine equation:

$$C = AF + z^{-1}G \quad (1)$$

where  $F$  and  $G$  are polynomials to be determined. The output can be written as:

$$y_{k+1} = Fw_{k+1} + \frac{G}{A}w_k$$

The first term involves future signals, unknown at time  $k$ , while the second term contains only signals available at time  $k$ . The optimal predictor is then given by:

$$\hat{y}_{k+1} = \frac{G}{A}w_k = \frac{G}{C}y_k$$

Choosing  $F$  of order  $d - 1$  where  $d$  is the delay of the system and  $G$  of order  $n - 1$  where  $n$  is the order of the system allows the coefficients to be calculated by comparing powers of  $z^{-1}$  in (1). This gives:

$$\begin{aligned} F &= f_0 = 1 \\ G &= g_0 + g_1z^{-1} = 2.2 - 0.9z^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The optimal predictor is then:

$$\hat{y}_{k+1} = \frac{2.2 - 0.9z^{-1}}{1 + 0.7z^{-1}} y_k$$

The variance of the prediction is:

$$\mathcal{E}\{(\hat{y}_{k+1} - y_{k+1})^2\} = \mathcal{E}\{(Fw_{k+1})^2\} = f_0^2 \sigma_w^2 = \sigma_w^2$$

**b.** For the two step ahead predictor, the Diophantine equation is:

$$C = AF + z^{-2}G \quad (2)$$

The polynomial  $F$  is now of first order. By comparing coefficients in the same manner as in (a), we get:

$$\begin{aligned} F &= f_0 + f_1 z^{-1} = 1 + 2.2z^{-1} \\ G &= g_0 + g_1 z^{-1} = 2.4 - 1.98z^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The optimal predictor is then:

$$\hat{y}_{k+2} = \frac{2.4 - 1.98z^{-1}}{1 + 0.7z^{-1}} y_k$$

The variance of the prediction is:

$$\mathcal{E}\{(\hat{y}_{k+2} - y_{k+2})^2\} = \mathcal{E}\{(Fw_{k+2})^2\} = (f_0^2 + f_1^2) \sigma_w^2 = 5.84 \sigma_w^2$$

As one might expect, the variance of the two step ahead predictor is much higher than the one step ahead version.

**4.** Minimum variance control involves finding a control law which minimizes the variance of the output. In this example the system is given by: The system is:

$$y_{k+1} = \frac{B(z^{-1})}{A(z^{-1})} u_k + \frac{C(z^{-1})}{A(z^{-1})} w_{k+1}$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} A(z^{-1}) &= 1 - 1.5z^{-1} + 0.9z^{-2} \\ B(z^{-1}) &= 1 + 0.9z^{-1} \\ C(z^{-1}) &= 1 + 0.7z^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

**a.** The one step ahead minimum variance controller is related to the one step ahead predictor in the previous question. The predictor was obtained by solving the Diophantine equation:

$$C = AF + z^{-1}G \quad (3)$$

giving:

$$\begin{aligned} F &= f_0 = 1 \\ G &= g_0 + g_1 z^{-1} = 2.2 - 0.9z^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Inserting (3) into the system dynamics gives:

$$y_{k+1} = F(z^{-1})w_{k+1} + \frac{B(z^{-1})}{A(z^{-1})}u_k + \frac{G(z^{-1})}{A(z^{-1})}w_k \quad (4)$$

The noise term  $w_k$  can be obtained from measured data at time  $k$ :

$$w_k = \frac{A(z^{-1})}{C(z^{-1})}y_k - \frac{B(z^{-1})}{C(z^{-1})}z^{-1}u_k$$

Introducing this in (4) and rearranging gives:

$$y_{k+1} = F(z^{-1})w_{k+1} + \frac{B(z^{-1})F(z^{-1})}{C(z^{-1})}u_k + \frac{G(z^{-1})}{C(z^{-1})}y_k \quad (5)$$

Clearly, choosing  $u_k$  such that the final two terms on the right hand side of (5) cancel out gives the minimum value of the variance of  $y_{k+1}$ . Thus the minimum variance controller is given by:

$$u_k = -\frac{G(z^{-1})}{B(z^{-1})F(z^{-1})}y_k = -\frac{2.2 - 0.9z^{-1}}{1 + 0.9z^{-1}}y_k$$

The output variance is then:

$$\mathcal{E}\{(y_{k+1})^2\} = \mathcal{E}\{(Fw_{k+1})^2\} = f_0^2 \sigma_w^2 = \sigma_w^2$$

- b.** The two step ahead minimum variance controller is obtained in a similar way as the one step ahead version. It is given by:

$$u_k = -\frac{G(z^{-1})}{B(z^{-1})F(z^{-1})}y_k = -\frac{2.4 - 1.98z^{-1}}{(1 + 0.9z^{-1})(1 + 2.2z^{-1})}y_k$$

with output variance:

$$\mathcal{E}\{(y_{k+2})^2\} = \mathcal{E}\{(Fw_{k+2})^2\} = (f_0^2 + f_1^2)\sigma_w^2 = 5.84\sigma_w^2$$

- c.** Without zero cancellation, the Diophantine equation to be solved is:

$$C = AR + z^{-1}BS \quad (6)$$

and the controller is given by:

$$u_k = -\frac{S(z^{-1})}{R(z^{-1})}y_k$$

In the previous parts we had  $S = G$  and  $R = BF$ , but in this case  $R$  no longer contains  $B$ . Solving (6) gives:

$$\begin{aligned}R &= r_0 + r_1 z^{-1} = 1 + 0.8471 z^{-1} \\S &= s_0 + s_1 z^{-1} = 1.3529 - 0.8471 z^{-1}\end{aligned}$$

The closed loop is given by:

$$y_{k+1} = \frac{CR}{AR + BS} w_k = R w_k = (1 + 0.8471 z^{-1}) w_{k+1}$$

which gives an output variance:

$$\mathcal{E}\{(y_{k+1})^2\} = \mathcal{E}\{(F w_{k+1})^2\} = (f_0^2 + f_1^2) \sigma_w^2 = 1.7176 \sigma_w^2$$

This variance is higher than in the case of zero cancellation in (a).